

Thank you

[Spl/MAT/F-5/E]

MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, MUMBAI BENCH

NO.MAT/MUM/JUD/2231/2016
Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal
Pay & Accounts Barrack Nos.3 & 4,
Free Press Journal Marg,
Nariman Point, Mumbai 400 021.

Date : 29 JUN 2016

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION No. 303 OF 2016.
(Sub:- Suspension)**

- 1 Mr. Suryakant G. Yewale,
C/o. Shri K.R. Jagdale, Advocate for the Applicant.

....**APPLICANT/S.**

VERSUS

- | | |
|---|---|
| 1 The State of Maharashtra, Through
The Secretary, Revenue & Forest
Dept., 32 nd Floor, Centre-1, Bldg.,
World Trade Centre, Cuff Parade,
Mumbai-05. | 2 Mr. S. Chokhalingam, The
Divisional Commissioner
(Revenue), Pune Division, Vidhan
Bhavan, Pune-01. |
| 3 The District Collector, Central
Bldg., Sadhu Vaswani Chowk,
Pune. | 4 The Divisional Commissioner
(Revenue), Pune Division, Vidhan
Bhavan, Pune-01. |
| 5 The Sub-Divisional Officer,
Baramati Sub-Division, Baramati,
Dist. Pune. | |

...**RESPONDENT/S**

Copy to : The C.P.O. M.A.T., Mumbai.

The applicant/s above named has filed an application as per copy already served on you, praying for reliefs as mentioned therein. The Tribunal on the 29th day of **June, 2016** has made the following order:-

APPEARANCE : Shri. K.R. Jagdale, Advocate for the Applicant.
Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, P.O. for the Respondents.

CORAM : **HON'BLE SHRI RAJIV AGARWAL, VICE-CHAIRMAN.**

DATE : **29.06.2016.**

ORDER : Order Copy Enclosed / Order Copy Over Leaf.

Malal
29/6/2016

**Research Officer,
Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal,
Mumbai.**

Date : 29.06.2016.

O.A.No.303 of 2016

Shri S.G. Yewale

.... Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors

.... Respondents.


1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned P.O. Smt. K.S. Gaikwad for the Respondents has tendered affidavit-in-reply of Respondent No.2, It is taken on record.

3. A statement is contained in paragraph 25 of the affidavit-in-reply of Respondent No.1 to the effect the applicant's suspension be reviewed after three months, after the date of suspension.


4. Learned Advocate Shri K.R. Jagdale on instructions from the applicant states that applicant is satisfied with this statement.

5. In view of the foregoing, O.A. is disposed of ^{upon} ~~after~~ accepting the statement contained in paragraph 25 and Respondent No.1 is directed to take decision in terms of paragraph 25 of the affidavit-in-reply.


(A.H. Joshi, J.)
Chairman

(sgj)

TRUE COPY


29/6/2016
Asstt. Registrar/Research Officer
Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal